UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,579	09/22/2003	Howard Boilen	A25-017	9636

28147	7590	04/07/2006

WILLIAM J. SAPONE
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE P.C.
714 COLORADO AVENUE
BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605

EXAMINER
HAMILTON, ISAAC N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3724	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/667,579	BOILEN, HOWARD
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724	

All Participants:

(1) *Isaac N. Hamilton.*

(2) *William J. Sappone.*

Status of Application: *After Final*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *31 March 2006*   **Time:** *4:59 pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A courtesy call was placed to applicant's representative, Mr. William J. Sappone, on 03/31/06 in order to inform him that the amendment after final has been received and is being considered.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____   _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)   (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)